

STATE OF ALABAMA OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

STEVE MARSHALL ATTORNEY GENERAL

501 WASHINGTON AVENUE MONTGOMERY, AL 36130 (334) 242-7300 WWW.AGO.ALABAMA.GOV

January 3, 2023

Mr. David J. Smith, Clerk of Court U.S. Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit 56 Forsyth Street, N.W. Atlanta, GA 30303

Re: Eknes-Tucker v. Governor of the State of Alabama, No. 22-11707 Rule 28(j) Supplemental Authority Letter

Dear Mr. Smith:

This Court's decision in *Adams v. School Board of St. John's County*, No. 18-13592 (11th Cir. Dec. 30, 2022) (en banc) ("Op."), supports Appellants' arguments.

First, Adams confirms that Alabama's law does not discriminate based on transgender status and that Bostock v. Clayton County, 140 S.Ct. 1731 (2020), is no help to Plaintiffs. See Op.30-31; Opening.Br.47-55.

Second, Adams explains that "transgender status and gender identity are [not] equivalent to biological sex," and that "biological sex" was the relevant characteristic to determine whether the plaintiffs were "similarly situated." Op.18 n.6. This reasoning dooms Plaintiffs' argument (at 55) that Alabama bans "certain treatments only for transgender minors," because the comparison (e.g., testosterone to treat a boy's testosterone deficiency versus to transition a girl) assumes that "transgender status and gender identity are equivalent to biological sex"—which they aren't. Op.18 n.6.

Third, Adams emphasized that "[t]he promise of equal protection is limited to keeping governmental decisionmakers from treating differently persons who are in all relevant respects alike." Op.18 n.6 (cleaned up). The Court applied intermediate scrutiny because "biological sex [wa]s the relevant respect": it was "the sole characteristic on which the bathroom policy" was "based." *Id.* (cleaned up). Applying that rule here, Alabama's law does *not* use sex as the dividing line: Neither males nor

females may access transitioning treatments. That cross-sex hormones depend on biological reality is no matter. Unlike with bathrooms, where either sex can use either bathroom if allowed, only males can take estrogen (and females testosterone) to transition, making the law subject only to rational-basis review. Dobbs v. Jackson Women's Health Org., 142 S.Ct. 2281, 2246 (2022). Alabama can thus regulate transitioning procedures without meeting intermediate scrutiny, just as it could (for instance) regulate a damaging procedure that changes a patient's racial appearance without meeting strict scrutiny.

Finally, if Alabama's law is deemed to discriminate based on sex, it satisfies intermediate scrutiny because, among other reasons, there are "sex-specific interests" that "justify a sex-specific policy." Op.24. A girl given a boy's level of testosterone faces "[k]nown risks" a boy would not, "includ[ing] loss of fertility and sexual function." DE112-1:3.

Christopher Mills

SPERO LAW LLC 557 East Bay Street #22251 Charleston, SC 29451

Telephone: (843) 606-0640 cmills@spero.law

Steve Marshall Alabama Attorney General

Respectfully submitted,

s/ Edmund G. LaCour Jr. Edmund G. LaCour Jr. Solicitor General

A. Barrett Bowdre Thomas A. Wilson Deputy Solicitors General

James W. Davis Deputy Attorney General

Benjamin M. Seiss Assistant Attorney General

STATE OF ALABAMA OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL 501 Washington Avenue Montgomery, Alabama 36130-0152 Telephone: (334) 242-7300 Fax: (334) 353-8400 Edmund.LaCour@AlabamaAG.gov

Counsel for State Defendants

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE

- 1. I certify that this document complies with the type-volume limitations set forth in Fed. R. App. P. 28(j) and 11th Cir. R. 28, I.O.P. 6. The document contains 350 words, including all headings, footnotes, and quotations, and excluding the parts of the brief exempted under Fed. R. App. P. 32(a)(7)(B)(iii).
- 2. In addition, this brief complies with the typeface requirements of Fed. R. App. P. 32(a)(5) and the type style requirements of Fed. R. App. P. 32(a)(6) because it has been prepared in a proportionally spaced typeface using Microsoft Word in 14-point Times New Roman font.

s/ Edmund G. LaCour Jr.
Edmund G. LaCour Jr.
Counsel for State Defendants

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that the foregoing was filed on January 3, 2023, using the CM/ECF Document Filing System, which will send notification of such filing to all noticed parties.

s/ Edmund G. LaCour Jr.
Edmund G. LaCour Jr.
Counsel for State Defendants